vious references.

1909
(Mar)

File No. 265—09
Serial Nos. 1 to 6

Later references.
M 7125 — 12

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 6

Part B. for May 1912

SUBJECT.

Proposals to have a separate establishment for doing mustard work in the Hassan Dt office

---

Sl 1. From
The Dy Comm Hassan dtd
No. 174 dy 30.9.1909

Requests sanction for a mustard establishment costing Rs 72 per mensem.

Sl 2. Do The Dy Comr Hassan dtd
No 1420 dy 7.12.1909

Requests information as to the source for meeting the cost in question and, submission of a less expensive scale

G.R.S.11] 1909-10

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Nuzrai)

NOTES.

File No. 265-09.

FILE HEADING.

Proposal to have a separate establishment for doing Nuzrai work in the Hassan District Office.

From

The Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

No. 174 Dated 30 9 09 Received 4 10 09

Diary No. 706 | Enclos. | Spare Copies | Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Requests sanction for a Nuzrai Establishment costing Rs 72 per mensem

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Paper under Disposal

The Deputy Commissioner of the Hassan District brings to notice that the Nuzrai work in the Hassan District Office is ~~exceed~~ very heavy, being second only to that in the Mysore District, and that this fact was recognized so far back as 1876

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—30,000—3-4-07

Serial No.

by Govt. He further states that the Mussai work in that Dt has considerably increased since that time, but that there being no separate establishment therefor, the work suffers materially He therefore requests that Govt sanction may be accorded for ~~the~~ an Establishment as recommended in his letter No. 423 dated 16th December 1904. The establishment recommended in the last named communication is as follows:-

1 Clerk on Rs 30 — 1 — 35.
1 Accountant on Rs 25 — 1 — 30
1 Daftaband 7
Total Rs 72/-

Pro No. 286-8 dy Mucy 56-08-2
29 7. 09
SCY. T
(in linked file)

In accordance with a recent order of July last, the Mysore District Office has on its Mussai Establishment, the following members:

1 Head Clerk on ... Rs 35 — 1 — 40.
1 Clerk . . . . 25.
1 Clerk . . . . . 20.
1 Clerk 15
100/-

in addition to a temporary hand on Rs 25/- for the Dt Treasury at Mysore.

Submitted for orders.

Note —Contn. shee

BPG—55,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mus File No. 265 of 1909

Serial No.

Under Secretary's Note.

3 The entertainment of an Establishment for Muzrai work in the Hassan District has formed the subject of correspondence since 1892 Mr Purnaiya reported that Hassan and Shimoga Districts were next to the Mysore Dt in importance, so far as the number and importance of Muzrai institutions were concerned, that prior to the reduction of Establishment in , there were 3 hands for Muzrai work in the Hassan District, that though Melkote had been transferred to the Mysore Dt, the Muzrai work continued to be heavy and that therefore the Muzrai work fell in arrears owing to want of a separate hand to attend to it exclusively, and he therefore requested that he might be authorized to entertain an official under the designation of Muzrai clerk on pay not less than Rs 20 or Rs 25 per mensem No orders having been passed on the subject till April 1894, Mr. Purnaiya renewed his representation, and a temporary hand on Rs 20/- was sanctioned from the Dt surplus funds of Muzrai instn in August 1894. As no mention had been made in the Govt Order as to the period up to which the temporary appointment was to continue, the Deputy Commr was, at the instance of the Comptroller, written to as to how long his

File no 2 of 1892

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

BPG—55,000—27.4.09

Serial No.

were required Mr B G Krishnamyar, who had been succeeded by Ponnanja as Deputy Commr, took that opportunity again to bring to the notice of Govt the heaviness of Muzrai work in his District and asked for an establishment consisting of a Clerk on Rs 25/- and a Munshi on Rs 15/- to attend solely to Muzrai work and suggested that the cost thereof might be met from the surplus funds of the Muzrai institutions of that District The Govt however observed that the pay of the temporary hand already sanctioned would be a heavy drain on the resources of the Muzrai instns should that appointment be made permanent, and sanctioned only the retention of the temporary hand till the end of June 1898. The Deputy Commr was further informed that a scheme for the general reorganization of the Muzrai Clerical Establishment of the several Dts was engaging the consideration of Govt and that final orders would issue in due course. The term of the temporary appointment in the Hassan Dt was being extended from time to time until in Feb[y] 1899 when Mr D Devaraj Mr Deputy Commr again pressed the question of the re-organization of the Muzrai Establishment as proposed by his predecessors, with the addition of a Daffterband on Rs 5/-, thus bringing the total cost to Rs 45/- a month. On this reference, the Govt

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mung File No. 205 of 1909

Serial No.

Ld Dewan Sir K Sheshadri Iyer observed that the Establishment asked for was excessive and could not be given and that a general scheme for munsif hands for all Districts might be considered. Circular order was accordingly issued to the Districts and the period of the temporary munsif clerk's appointment in the Hassan Dt was extended upto 31st Decr 1899, before which date, it was stated that the Govt expected to pass orders on the general scheme. No such orders having been passed till July 1900 the Deputy Commr again reminded Govt about it, but he was informed that the question would be considered only after the amount of savings at the credit of the several munsif heads in the state which had been ordered to be taken on hand was accurately ascertained and that he might therefore renew his proposals at the beginning of the next Offl year. The Dy Comr however asked for the continuance of the temporary hand on Rs 20/-, but this was not sanctioned. Thus the last named appointment came to an end on the 30th June 1900. The verification of munsif balances having been for the first time completed in March 1904, the Dy Commr made a report to Govt in Decr 1904 stating that the Chief Commr having so long ago as in 1876, recognised the heaviness of munsif work in the Hassan Dt and sanctioned

+ where is the?
9/5/1910
Mr. Venkatesa Rao & appendix were asked about this. The latter enquired very how he wrote the words "circular order" There is no circular order in the file — it seems to be a mistake
BL
9/5/10

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

an establishment costing Rs 67, that the work had since then considerably increased, and he proposed an Establishment consisting of a clerk on Rs 30-1-35, an accountant on Rs 25-1-30 and a Daftaband on Rs 7/-, altogether costing Rs 72 or Rs 5 more than that entertained in the Chief Commissioner's time. He has again addressed Govt in the matter by way of a reminder and asks for all that Establishment. The Establishment asked for appears rather costly, and he has not specified the source from which such a large amount may be met. If approved, the Dy Commr will be written to on these points.

4 Vs 8
2/11/Mar

5 Draft submitted
4/3/1910

2 To The Deputy Commr, Hassan Dt
No 420 dated 7th Decr 1909

Requests to be informed of the source from which the cost of the Establishment may be met and also to be furnished with a modified and less expensive scale as the cost of the Establishment as now proposed appears rather high

3 From The same
No 449 dated 22nd March 1910

Reports that the cost of the Establishment ~~[illegible]~~ may be met from

Note—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mucs File No. 265 of 1909

Serial No.

of Rs 1344-11-11.
the interest realised on the Govt of India Pro: notes of the

1. Sri Chennakesava Temple at Belur	marginally noted maja
2. Sri Uttaradi Matt at Holé Narsipur	institutions and from
3. Sri Chaturbhuja Pattabhirama & Sri Nilakanteswara Temple at Holé Narsipur.	the surplus Funds of the Sri Ramadevaru Chattram at Bisle in such proportion
4. Sri Venkataramanaswamy Temple at Malekal Tirupati, Arsikere Tk.	as the Govt. deems fit, and that, in view to reduce the
5. Jain Matt at Sravanabelagola.	cost of the Establishment,

the pay of the proposed Accountant may be reduced to Rs 20-1-25, thereby also reducing the total cost of the proposed Establishment to a minimum of Rs 57 and rising to a maximum of Rs 67 per mensem.

7 The Establishment now proposed by the Dy Commr is thus the following:—

1. Clerk on Rs 30 – 1 – 35
1. Accountant Rs 20 – 1 – 25
1. Daftar band. Rs 7

Total Rs 57 – 67.

8 (1) Vide ler query on page 5 (2) Mr Lewis's order of 1st Oct of 1897 vesting Hill Tribes Establishments in a Tahsildar & Muzrai accts attached to Dy Commr's office? Please see Revenue Manual. 3/5/1910

Subd. 3/5/10

Submitted.

9 (1) The Circular order referred to on page 5 above does not seem to have been issued.

(2) In the revised Distt Setts, there is an ~~Asst~~ Sheristadar & Muzrai accountant provided for

Note.—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

for Each district on Rs 20/- Except in the Shimoga & Kadur Distts where the Clerk gets Rs 35/- Please see pages 113 – 114 of the Rev manual part up

10 The Dy Comr of Hassan wants the following Estt Establishment for Murrai work alone in the Distt. -

1 Clerk on 30-1-35
1 Accts - - 20-1-25
1 Duftri on Rs 7
Total 50 — 67.

11 It is also worthy of consideration that Successive Dy Comrs of the Hassan Distt have complained of the heaviness of the Murrai work in the Distt

9 5 10

This is a matter which Mr Wethered spoke about when the Second Councillor was at Srirangapatna Belagola.

12 But we know what amount is granted in favour of each institution - The Comptroller Comm[issioner] of Pub[lic] Instruction has published a list in the Gazette.

14/1/1910

13 A perusal of Mysore Gazette of Jan[uary] 28 1909 (Page 46 of Part I) will show that out of the 6 Murr. Institutions mentioned by the Dy Comr, only 3 have been mentioned in the list ~~Printed~~ published in the

Part VI 1163

X H.D.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

h w-File No. 265 of 1909 9

Serial No.

Garetta as having invested monies in the Mysore Govt. Securities as follows.—

	amount Rs	what Loan
1. Sri Chenna Kesa Swamy temple at Belur	500	— 3½ % 24-55.
2. Sri Ettaradi matt at Hole Narsipur	45000	— 4% 06-07
3 Sri Venkat ramana Swamy Temple at Malkal Tirupati in Arsikere Taluk	4000	— 4% 06-07

14 The Dep: Comr says in his letter that the other Institutions have whole inam villages endowed to them in addn to cash grants, not to speak of large savings at their credit.

15 The Dy Comr has not given any details as to the amts at the credit of the several institutions. May he be asked to give us full info in the matter?

BL
17.5.10

16 This is a matter about which the Dy Comr spoke to the Secretary when at Sravana Belgola.

17 There seems to be a Sanga and Mangala archa in each Institution.

18 Periodical cost in the Hassan Dt is heavy. I do not think this source should be availed of.

19 There is only a small sum belonging to the Belur temple that is invested. This day the savings that this Temple may have will be required

Note.—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,0 XI—27-4-09

Serial No.

for the repairs thereof.

20 The Uttarade Mutt funds cannot legitimately be charged with the pay of their clerks. The funds have accumulated because funds have attached the Villages. Otherwise there would have been no savings. The money has to be made over to the Mutt when the Villages are restored.

21 The Arakan people would object to their Temple funds being used for establishment they have been clamouring for a scale of expenditure providing for feeding during the Pattabaram.

22 The Aravana Brahmins have Trustees a Temple fund of about Rs. 15000 of which it is proposed to invest Rs 10,000 in getting their accounts audited some money may become available for feeding from the interest to the poor pilgrims of the Aravana Brahmin temples. The fund cannot spare any money for the District establishment.

It is not known what money the Chaturbhuj

23 Pallanbhuranmani and Nilakantaswamy Temples at Hole Narsipur have saved.

24 The annual income of the Bride Chatram is not sufficient now. Rs. 150 a year has been transferred from the Hill Choultry for Chatram. Now that Hogur is being advertised has been fixed for pilgrims at

Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ws File No. 265 of 1909

11

Serial No.

2 Anthropology for twenty days on the occasion of the festival, I do not think that the Baroda Chatham funds will ~~be allowed for~~ will be available for payment of establishment.

3 It seems to me that the charge of establishment should be borne by Govt and not be charged to the institutions.

4 It is my humble opinion that the question of establishment for Mangrol Walk may be considered in revising the establishment of subordinate officers as proposed in Govt order No 7137-46 _ D 21.9.1910 (vide Govt Book last page but one). L.R. 217-09-5

Submitted to Dewan Chiefs Secretary

Examined

10/1/10

3860. 27 I am disposed to agree that none of the sources pointed out by the D.C. can meet the cost wholly. I do not know if there is work really for more than one clerk.

28 Perhaps the course suggested by the H.S. in the last para may be taken.

29 Either Govt should meet the cost or a percentage charged to institutions having more than one in-

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Miscr File No. 265 of 1909

Serial No.

Cone of 1000 Rs or so.

18/5/10

30 Submitted to Dewan

Ahmedabad

24/5/10

31 In view of the observations & instructions contained in the Deputy has been complaining it behoves first to decide upon their merits as to whether or not the additional hands asked for is required — Shall be nothing to accept the 2nd Courts opinion in the matter — The salary of the additional men ~~Clerks~~ maybe met by keeping a percentage on withdrawals having an income of more than 1000 Rs

7/6/10

8/7

32 According to the money-order Register the Institutions with an income of Rs 1000 & above are the following: —

Ashalpad Chakaran	——	1213-0-0
Borlé Chakaran	——	1024-00
Ulhasnadi Mota	——	6312-00
Belsar Taplo	——	1067-00

33 In addition to these there are two other Institutions

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 265 of 1909

1782

13

Serial No.

viz, Bangalore Contingent — Rs 2000 —
Jamindar Establishment — about Rs. 2000
Belagola —

34 Board think the Uttaradi Mattam will submit to being mulcted in charges for establishment. 5% on the above institutions will give us 25% for the maximum pay of the clerk proposed.

Draft submitted to Commissioner and Commissioner agree —
16/7/1910
11/8/1910

35 1. Why should not the Uttaradi Matha also Contribute, so long as it is under Govt management?

36 2. Is there a Sayar Muz. Accts still in Hassan? What work does he do?

37 3. When was additional establishment sanctioned for ally-sons & from what source is it paid?

17/8/10

38 Issue a draft to Hassan DC as indicated

39 Issued draft dated 22/8/10 40. 24/8/1910 27/8/1910

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

4 To the Dy Comr Hassan Dt vide 588 & 26-8-09

Enquires what work the Sayer & Muzrai Accountant has been doing

5 From the same Office. vide 137/S & 1-11-11

Furnishes a list showing the work done by the Sayer & Muzrai accountant & says that he has all along been attached to the Rev. Accountant's Branch & that it has not been possible to depute him for Muzrai work.

41 Subd This information was called for ~~at~~ on the 2nd querry raised by the 2nd councillor in the previous page of the office Note.

42 With regard to the last querry of the 2nd Councillor, it is submitted that the Muzrai clerical Establishment of the Mysore Dt was ~~at~~ enhanced in G.O. vide 286-8/M.7 161-06 & 29-7-09 Previous to that date there were —

1 Head Munshi on -		Rs 30/-
1 Dy Munshi on		25/-
1 Record Keeper on		15/-
		Rs 70/-

In the G.O referred to above the Head clerk's pay was raised from Rs 30/- to

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

BPG—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

to Rs 35-1-40 & an additional hand on Rs 20/- to the District Office as well as for the D. Treasury a temporary hand on Rs 25/- were also sanctioned, so that the clerical establishment now consists of -

Mysore Office
- 1 Head clerk on Rs 35-1-40
- 1 Deputy clerk on Rs 25
- 1 3rd clerk on Rs 20/-
- 1 record keeper on Rs 15/-

D. Treasury — 1 Temporary clerk on Rs 25/-

The cost of the establishment is borne from the funds of the Chamarajnagar Temple.

43- The 1st query of the 2nd Cr, ~~regarding~~ as to why the Uttaradi Matt ~~need not~~ should not contribute towards the pay of the Hassan & Mysore establishment so long as it is under Govt management, has to be answered -

Subs for orders. I feel I have called with this draft already perhaps. I have nothing further to say about the Uttaradi Matt.

Cypl (139) 27/11/11

I do not see why the Sayer & Mysore Asst should not do Mysore work at all.

The question of moving the Headquarters of D. Office in all branches has been considered and has perhaps been disposed of in this Establishment

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

BPG—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

Flag D.

Strongthened. In that case the Tazia & Muzzai Accts may well attend to Muzzai work fully in addition to their hard work in the Sanitary Dept. I was consulted in the connection with the framing of the revision of Establishments. A copy of my note is placed on this file for reference if necessary.

Abdul Aziz
29.11.14

Keep till councillor's return from Delhi.
29/11/16

26

My query about charging Uttaradi Matha is not answered. It will be sufficient to sanction one clerk on 25 Rs. The money (300 Rs) may be charged to these P. S definitely instead of taking 5 P.C Please amend draft.

10/1/12

Uttaradi Matha may for the present be left out. The draft as amended may be passed.

Dewan

15/2/12

NOTE — Contn. sheet

GPS—50 000—12 8 10

G. R S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mr. File No. 265 of 1909

17

Serial No.

Submitted to Dy Comm Abbottabad

5 9

11/12/12

17

15156
20.12.12

Approved
Attd C Mengal

Issue
by
15/12/12

To

6 The Dy. comm Hazara with Comptroller to go with

No. 2917-8 .9 29 Dec 1912

Understd circumstances reported by the Dy Comm, sanctions the Entertainment of a clerk on Rs 25/- a month & requests the Dy Comm to submit proposals for the revision of the Scales of Expenditure in the institutions in order to meet the said cost

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 265 of 1909

Serial No.

Muzrai Under Secretary's remarks:-

Of the 8 Districts, it is only Mysore that has a separate Establishment for Muzrai.. As in other Departments, Work in this Department also is heavy in that District. The clerical establishment originally sanctioned was as shown below:-

		Rs
1.	Head Munshi - - - -	30
1.	Deputy Munshi - - -	25
1.	Record Keeper - - -	15
		70/-

Subsquently on the urgent recommendation of the Deputy Commisssioner a hand on Rs. 20/- for the District Office and one on Rs. 25/- temporarily for the District Treasury were sanctioned in Govt. Order No. 286-8 Muz. F. 161-06 dated 29th July 1909 and the Head Clerk's pay was also raised from Rs. 30 to Rs. 35-1-40. The clerical establishment in the Mysore District Office and Treasury for Muzrai work now consists of the following:-

District Office:-

1.	Head Clerk on - -	Rs. 35-1-40.
1.	Deputy Clerk - -	" 25
1.	3rd Clerk - -	" 20
1.	Record Keeper - -	" 15

District Treasury.

1. Temporary clerk on Rs. 25. The cost is

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

Serial No.

borne from the funds of the Chamarajanagar temple This establishment is perhaps in addition to the Sayer and Muzrai Accountant allowed, as in all - other Districts, to this District also.

2. The Hassan Deputy Commissioner has for - some years been asking for some establishment for Muzrai work. In that connection, I suggested in my note that the establishment for Muzrai work might also be considered when revising the establishment of District Offices in accordance with G. O. No. 7137-46 / L.R. 217-09-5 dated 21st April 1910 - (i. e. the subject matter of this file). No orders were passed on this point. The entertainment of a new hand in this District on Rs.25-1-30 to be paid from the funds of Muzrai Institutions has actually been sanctioned. (File No. 265 of 09) This however has not been communicated to the - Deputy Commissioner who has been asked to state what work the Sayer and Muzrai Accountant is now doing. He has not yet sent any reply; nor has he sent any report in connection with the file - now under disposal.

3. The Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District is the only one who in connection with the file refers to Muzrai work. He says that - the Muzrai Accountant i. e. Sayer and Muzrai - Accountant has much work to do, and his pay should be raised to Rs. 30/-. I am not sure if, from the Statistics furnished by the Deputy Commissioner the work can be said to be heavy. The other

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

Serial No.

Deputy Commissioners say nothing of Muzrai Work, nor have they directly (except the Deputy Commrs. of Mysore and Hassan as noted above) asked Government in the Muzrai Department for establishment.

4. From the Revenue Commissioner's letter, I understand that none of the hands according to the Revision suggested by him is to do Muzrai - work. Mr. D'Souza says that the charges for the establishment of the Muzrai work should be met - from the Muzrai Budget. If he means that the Muzrai Budget is to be increased by the amount of such charges and the charges met therefrom (i.e. that the Budget allotment for the pay etc., of ~~&~~ this establishment, till now met from General - Revenues in the Revenue Department, will be transferred to the Muzrai Department and the charges met therefrom) I can have no objection. If on the other hand it is said that without any increase in Budget allotment, the charges will be debited to the Muzrai Budget, I have to object to the - Muzrai Department being saddled with charges till now borne by the Revenue Department. I may also add that the Muzrai Budget has no funds unless it is proposed to draw upon the Savings of the - temples for all sorts of purposes. A proposal for meeting the charges of the Mysore District - Muzrai establishment from General Revenues without involving any extra cost is also being - separately considered.

5. Work in the Muzrai Department of any

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

Serial No.

any office appears to come under four heads as shewn below:-

(1) Disposing of correspondence.

(2) Registering communications received and despatched.

(3) Maintaining prescribed accounts and sending prescribed monthly returns.

(4) Maintaining records.

I think (2) should be done by those who register the correspondence in the Revenue Branch separate registers being maintained and if necessary separate serial numbers being given. In this connection I may remark that the registering of correspondence is unaccountably looked upon as unskilled work and that it is considered beneath the dignity of a clerk to do it. I also think that (4) may be done by the Vernacular Records Department.

1 and 3 cannot, I think, be too heavy, in any District, except Mysore, for one clerk to do.

6. To sum up(1) except in the Mysore District and perhaps Hassan also, no other establishment, in addition to the existing Sayer and Muzrai Accountant is necessary (2) the retention of the Sayer and Muzrai Accountant for Muzrai work in Districts except Mysore which has a special establishment is necessary, (3) no increase of pay is called for and (4) the charge might as here-to fore be borne by the General Revenues.

Note—Contn sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

No. 423.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Hassan District,
Dated 16th December 1904

From,

P. R. W. Wetherall Esq
Offg: Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To,

L Anantasami Rao Esq B.A,
Secy: to Govt. Muzrai Depart.

Sir,

As called for in Official Memo No. 3190-8 Mus-D. 17-03 dated 11th April 1904, I have the honor to submit herewith a 'nil' statement in the prescribed form of the officials employed in the Muzrai Branch of the District Office since no official has been sanctioned for the Muzrai work of this District since the temporary post of Muzrai Clerk was dispensed with at the end of June 1900 and no hand of the District Office can be spared exclusively for this work. At present the work is being attended to by the Revenue Court

Accountant of the District Office, which entails very heavy work for him and results in correspondence & falling into arrears.

2. The necessity for having a separate establishment for this work was brought to the notice of Government by several previous Deputy Commissioners. Mr. P. V. Purnaiyya so far back as 1892 reported the same in his letter No. 473 dated 25th October 1892. which was again urged by Mr. B.G. Krishna Iyengar in his letter No. 398 dated 5th November 1896 and by Mr. D. Devaraj urs in his letters Nos. 561 & 172 dated 4th February 1899 and 6th September 1900 respectively. I have no hesi-tation in saying and I am sure you will agree with me that the work has considerably increased of late and that unless a separate competent establishment is sanctioned it cannot be satis-factorily performed.

3. Mr. D. Devaraj urs in his

letter No 561 dated 4th February 1899 proposed the entertainment of -

1	Clerk on Rs.	25/-
1	—Do—	15/-
1	Dafterband	5/-
	Total Rs	45/-

This seems to have been the minimum establishment that was found absolutely necessary seeing that there was no separate establishment at all for the work in the District Office. Ever since then the Mezrai work has considerably increased in this District and may be said to stand second to the Mysore District only in importance and quantity of work. From the Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No 6574-27 dated 5th October 1876, it will be seen that it was then admitted that the Mezrai work in the Hassan and Mysore Districts is exceptionally heavy In addition to the then existing establishment Costing of Rs 52/- per mensem

the Chief Commissioner was pleased to sanction an additional hand on Rs 15/- per mensem as apparent from the printed Mewrai Memorandum page 27. The total cost of the Mewrai establishment was thus Rs 67/- per mensem. It is beyond all doubt that Mewrai work and correspondence has much increased since those days. Taking into consideration the nature and importance of the work, the number of vernacular and English papers to be dealt with, the many important disputes to be settled, the several accounts to be checked, and considering the fact that competent clerks should be employed with a view to be able to check the several plans and estimates that are frequently received for repairing the several institutions I would propose a slight increase of Rs 5/- to the establishment sanctioned by the Chief Com

as stated above, the total cost of Rs 72/- per mensem being as follows:-

1 Clerk on Rs 30/- rising by annual increment of Rupee 1 to Rs— 35/-
1 Accountant on Rs 25— do — 30/-
1. Dufterband 7/-

Total Rs 72/-

I have proposed that the pay of the clerk and Accountant should rise by annual increments of one Rupee with a view to retain efficient hands in their respective posts sufficiently long as it very often occurs as experienced in other Departments that when a hand of a particular Department has gained full and thorough knowledge of his work and the working of the Department, his turn comes round for promotion to higher pay in other Departments and thus his valuable services are lost from his own Department

4 Yol.

1907-10.

Misc F 265-09-1

From

L. ...wetherall, Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District.

(6

To

K. S. Ramaswamy Iyengar, Esquire,

B.A., B.L.

Secretary to the Govt. of

Mysore, Gen. and Rev. Dept

in Mysore.

Dated 30th Sep 1909.

Despatched

Received

General No. 17

No

Enclosure No.

4 Yol. - Diff. nos

4/10/09

Recd 1/11/09 gh

SUBJECT.

Requesting early orders re. Sanction of a separate establishment for the work in Kunigal Department of the Hassan District office

(1)

* Letter No. 58/5 dt 18-7-1905.

Letter No. 44/5 dt 18.7.1906.

the work is of responsible nature, I have proposed Rs 30/- rising to Rs 35/- for the clerk & Rs 25/- rising to Rs 30/- for the accountant and I think Rs 7/- is the least that can be fixed for pay of a competent Dufterbund.

4. I may add here that the accounts & the jewellery &c of the several institutions in the District have not been checked since a number of years for want of a competent and separate establishment. Moreover the Comptroller has in his Circular No 2061-51 dated 28-10 / 2-11 1904 has directed the preparation and submission of a number of monthly Muzrai accounts. This work itself would occupy the full time of an accountant. Unless a suitable establishment be sanctioned it will not be possible to cope with this and other work of the Muzrai

5. Taking all these circumstances into consideration, I request that you will be so good as to move Government to sanction the proposed establishment.

I have &c

Sd. P. R. W. Wetherall

Offg Dy Commr

Deputy to [illegible],

[illegible] District.

Dated [illegible], 30-9-[illegible].

From,

[illegible] Setterfield, Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner,

[illegible] District.

To,

The Honble Mr. Cheesman Carmichael, Esquire, C.S.I.,

Secretary to the Government of [illegible],

Revenue, Agriculture and Forest Department,

Mungrai Branch,

[illegible].

Sir,

In inviting reference to this office letter No. 423/S dated 16th December 1904, and subsequent references noted in the margin, recommending the sanction of an establishment to cope with the work of the Mungrai Department of this office, I have the honour to state that sanction has not been accorded to the proposal which has been also brought to the notice of Government

We

in the annual Mungrai Admi
=nistration Reports.

2. The Deputy Clerk of the English Department is attending to the Mungrai work in this office in addition to his ordinary duties as well as Dasara and Exhibition work. The Mungrai work in the District office is very heavy, and being only second to that in the Mysore District as was recognized so far back as 1876 (vide Proceedings No. 6574-27 dated 5th October 1876 on page 27 of the Mungrai Memo=randum), has suffered much for want of a separate Mungrai establishment in the District office. The delicate na- - - -

of the questions to be dealt
with in addition to the work
of checking and scrutinizing
estimates and measurement
for Irrigation works, Forge bills, establishment bills,
bills, darakhasts, Maintenance
of prescribed registers etc, and
the increasing number of refer-
-ences which have to be care-
-fully gone into before disposal,
necessitate a separate establish-
-ment to cope with the responsible
duties of the Department. It
may be here mentioned that
there is not even a Irrigation
Daftarband or Gumasta sanctioned
for this office to attend to
the Irrigation records and to
write up Kanarese "To" and
"From" and Petition Registers.

3. I therefore request
that

that early sanction
Government be kindly obtained
for the entertainment of
the establishment recommended
in my letter No. 423/S dated
16th December 1904, referred
to above.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

E. H. W. Fetherstonhaugh

Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 265-09

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to 706

No. 1420

M. 7. 265-09-2

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 190

To

The Dy Commr, Hassan Dt

Sir,

Date of issue 7th Dec. 1909

Signed by M. A. S. Iyer

Adverting to your letter No. 174 dated 30th September 1909, submitting proposals for the entertainment of a Murrai Establishment in the D.t Office at a cost of Rs 72/- per mensem, I am directed to invite reference to this Office No. 612 dated 3rd December 1900 stating that the question of giving a separate clerical Establishment for Murrai work would be considered after the amount of the savings of the several Mistrys were accurately ascertained by the verification of the District Murrai balances. Such verification having been completed and the figures, showing the savings of individual Mistrys thereby, having been

over.

SUBJECT.

accepted in Govt Pro: No 2892-901
Mur F5-96
dated 19th March 1904, I am to
request you will be so good as to point
out the source from which the cost
of the Murpai Establishment proposed by you
may be met.

2. I am also to add that
the cost of the Establishment as
now proposed, viz Rs 72 per mensem
appears high
is rather heavy, and that a modified
less expensive scale may, if possible,
be submitted.

I have &c,

By
4/12/1904

for Secretary.

4.12.04

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—10,000—23-5-08

From,

From H. Sethuram, Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District.

To,

To S. Krishnamurthy Iyer, Esquire, M.A.
with reference to his proposal to the Government
of H.E.H. the Nizam's Government, and now pending
Branch, Bangalore.

Dated 22-3-1930.
23-3
Despatched

Received

General No. 449
No. S

Enclosure No. —

SUBJECT.

Submitting a report re. sanction of a separate establishment for the work in the Inspectorate Dept. of the Hassan District offices.

1. Sri Channakeswaraswamy's Temple at Belur 500/-
2. Sri Uttaradi Mutt at Hole-narsipur
3. Sri Chaturbhuja Pattabhi-Ramaswami and Sri Nilakanteswara Swami Temples at Hole-narsipur
4. Sri Venkataramanaswamy's Temple at Malekal Tirupati in Arsikere Taluk 40/-
5. Jain Mutt at Sravana-Belgola, Channarayapatna Tk.
6. Sri Ramadevara Chatram at Bisle in Manjarabad Tk.

Refer cards
S.A. continue note
24/3/30

Deputy Commissioner's Office,

Hassan District.

Dated, Hassan, 22-3-1910.

From,

P.R.W.Wetherell Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District.

To,

C.S.Balasundaram Iyer, Esquire, B.A,

Offg. Secretary to the Government

of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments, Mungrae Peraveh,

B A N G A L O R E .

Sir

With reference to your letter No. 1420 / M.D.265-09-2 dated 7th December 1909 in the matter of the source from which the cost of the Mugroy establishment proposed for the District office, may be met etc I have the honour to state that in this District, the marginally noted are the important major Mugroy Institutions with large endowments in cash and nos.

2, 3 and 5 also endowed with whole Inam villages which are under Govrnment manage= =ment in the Mungroy Department. Yes

The income of these institu= tions including the cash grants from Government and the Revenue from the villages enjoyed by them, not only cover the cost of the establishments and other charges in accordance with the scales sanctioned by Government for each institution but large savings having also been Effected, the same have been invested in the Government of India Pro notes which realize Half yearly interest to the extent

extent of ~~Rs~~ 1344-11-11. This interest is now being drawn on intimations received from the Comptroller half yearly and credited towards the funds of respective institutions. There are other minor institutions in the District, whose funds have likewise been invested in the Government of India Pro. Notes, the interest on which have not been taken into account in this connection.

2. The minimum cost of the establishment proposed for the District office amounts to ~~Rs~~ 62/- rising to ~~Rs~~ 72/- per mensem or ~~Rs~~ 744/- rising to ~~Rs~~ 864/- per annum, as detailed in

my letter no. 423/S dated 16th/19 December 1904. The scale of the establishment was submitted after due consideration of the work of the Surgery branch of this office, and it is not desirable to reduce the number of hands But as the cost of the proposed establishment is required to be reduced in the letter under reply, the pay of the proposed accountant on Rs 25-1-30, may be reduced to ~~Rs~~ 20-1-25. The Cost of the establishment as now modified would amount to a minimum of ~~Rs~~ 571/- rising to a maximum of

67/- per month. For reasons already stated in para 3 of my letter No. 423/S dated 16th Decr 1904, it is very necessary that the pay of the clerk and Accountant should rise by annual incre-ments so that they may be each retained sufficiently long in the posts to be efficient in their work instead of frequent changes on account of promotion of the officials into other Departments.

3. — The Cash endowments to the minor institutions being small, it is not deemed desirable to apportion the cost of the proposed establishmen

to

to the funds of such institu
tions to avoid confusions
in the way of debiting small
proportionate charges thereto.
Moreover the work connected
with these minor institutions
are not so heavy as the work
connected with the major
institutions.

4:— Hence the cost of the
proposed establishment may be
met out of the funds of the
Six major institutions men-
=tioned in para 1 which can
well afford to bear the cost
and is only reasonable con-
=sidering the heavy ~~duties~~ work
connected with them.

5:— It may be here mentioned that no management cost is being realized from the Inam villages of these institutions which are under Government management for a very long time. although such cost is leviable on the gross revenue of Inam villages which are taken under Government management tempo= =rarily in accordance with the Dewan's Proceedings No. 624-33-13 dated 24th April 1884.

6:— In the above circums= tances I beg to suggest that the cost of the proposed establish= =ment, may be met from the interest realized on the Government of India Pro-notes of the first five

five major institutions men=
=tioned in para 1 above and
also from the surplus funds
of the Sri Ramadevara Chatram
at Bisle, in such proportions
as the Government may deem
fit.

7:— In conclusion I beg
to urge the necessity of early
sanction of Government —
being kindly obtained for
the proposed establishment,
as much inconvenience and
overwork of officials of other
Departments are felt owing
to there being no establish=
=ment in the District office
— to

to attend to the heavy work
of the Mungrai Department.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

D.M.W. Wetherall

Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

Mur. 1 A]

190

From

To

The Dy Commissioner
Hapur Distt.

Dated

Despatched 20-7-1910

Received

General No.

No

Enclosure No.

Correspondence ending with his letter No. 449 dated the 22 March 1910, about the establishment for ~ daily work in the Distt Office

---

With reference to the above, has the honour to enquire what

GPB—8000—21-7-03

work the Sayaw
and deny your
Accountant
sanctioned for
his office in Govt
Pro no 11906 A
Mio 2 35-96
dated 30th April
1897 is denied.

[signature]

2/8/10

[signature]
for Secy

2/8/10

DIARY No. 717

10/11-1911

SECTION Madras

FILE NO. 265-09

SERIAL No. 5

From [illegible]

Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District.

To [illegible]

Dated 28th [illegible]

Despatched 1st November 1911

Received

General No. 137

No. [illegible]

Enclosure No. One.

Furnishing particulars — required in letter No. 588 265-09-4 dated 26th August 1910, and again urging the great necessity for a Separate establishment to attend to the heavy and increasing Work of the Muzrai Department in the Deputy Commissioner's Office, Hassan District

Continue Note.

3/11/11

3.11.4

GPT—1,50,000—20-F 11

1911-1

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Meiktila District.
Dated Meiktila the, 28th October 1911.

From,

E. A. Stuart Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner,
Meiktila District.

To,

The Hon'ble Mr. J. Swan, I.C.S.,
Offg. Secretary to the Government of
the Minister for Finance and Revenue,
General and Revenue Department,
Simla.

Sir,

With reference to Correspondence relating to the great necessity of a Separate Establishment to attend to the Mukrai Work of this Office ending with your Docket No. 588/265-09-4 dated 26th August 1910 enquiring what work the Sayer and Mukrai Accountant, Sanctioned in Government Proceedings No. 1906 A/Mis 7 35-96 dated 30th April 1897, has been doing in this Office, I have the honor to enclose a list showing the work done by the official in question.

2 So far back as in the year 1892, the then Deputy Commissioner Mr. O N Purnaiya brought to the notice of Government in his letter No. 473* dated 25th October 1892 the great necessity for a Separate official for Mukrai Work — Flag G

in this District Office, and the Govern-
ment were pleased to Sanction a clerk
on Rs 20/- Whose services were Continued
upto the end of the period of Sanction
viz upto 30th June 1900, and again applied
for in this Office letter No. 192/S dated 6th
September 1900, but was not Sanctioned.
I beg to invite reference to my Subsequent
letters No 423/S dated 6th December 1904,
No 58/S dated 18th July 1905, and No 174/S
dated 30th September 1909 urging from
time to time the great necessity of a
Separate establishment — to attend to the
heavy Muzrai Work which has to be
dealt with in this District Office, which
in nature and quantity is only second
to that of the Mysore District as
recognized so far back as 1876 (Vide
Government Proceedings No 6574-27 dated
5th October 1876 on page 27 of the Muzrai
Memorandum). This has already been
brought to notice in my letter No 174/S
dated 30th September 1909, as well as the
fact that there is not even a Muzrai
Dafterband or Gumastha Sanctioned
for this Office to attend to the Muzrai
Records and to write up Kanarese

put up herewith

"To

28

"To" and "From" and Petition Registers.
Muzrai Work in the District Office has much increased, and there is no Separate establishment to attend to it with the result that Correspondence etc falls into arrears as brought to notice in my letter No 423/S dated 16th December 1904

3 The Sayer and Muzrai Accountant has all along been attached to the Revenue Account Department of the District Office, in which work has enormously increased particularly since the formation of the Revenue Commissioner's Office, and is still on the increase. Hence it has not been possible to depute this hand to attend to the heavy Muzrai Work of the District Office.

4. The necessity of Separate Establishment for Muzrai Work in the District Offices has been long recognized by Government as may be observed from para 2 of Government Proceedings No 501-2 Mis F-2-92 dated 2nd November 1897

5 I therefore request that you be so as to obtain early Sanction of Government to

to the Mugrai Establishment proposed x
x cl 37 for the Districts in my letter No 449/S
No Fire dated 22nd/23 March 1910, the Cost being
met from the Source pointed out therein.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servt

P M W Fitzgerald

Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

Enclo

Work attended to by the Revenue Department & Accountant in the Deputy Commissioner's Office, Hassan D

I Preparation of the following returns

Monthly 1. Season Report

Quarterly 2- Statement of Cattle loss

3 ___ Do ___ Cardamom Cultivation

4 ___ Do ___ Village Service Inams alienated -

Half yearly 5 Statement of food grains

Annual 6 Annual report on the Condition of the Great Trigonometrical Survey Stations

7. Statement of Cardamom Cultivation.

8 ___ Do ___ Cattle loss

9 Cotton Mill Statement

10 Woollen Mill Statement

11 Statement of Minerals.

12 ___ Do ___ Mines and Quarries

13 ___ Do ___ holdings

and all Kanarese and English Corres relating to the above returns

II All Correspondence received from the Geological Secretary relating to licenses to prospect for Minerals, maintaining Registers

Registers for such licenses and Submission of the Returns occasionally called for.

III Assisting the Revenue Deputy Accountant in the preparation of the monthly and Annual Returns and attending to all English Correspondence Concerning them.

IV Attending to Remission Statements of some Taluks referred to him by the Revenue Head Accountant.

G.R.S. 28.] 707/1575

32

File No. 265-09

Serial No. 6

Diary No. of letter replied to

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

, *the* 19

Date of issue 29-5-1912

Signed by for R Ramaswamaiya

READ—

Correspondence ending with Letter No ~~1179~~ 137 dated 2nd 1st November 1911 from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, requesting sanction to the entertainment of a Separate establishment for Muzrai work in the Hassan District offices

ORDER THEREON.—No.

order thereon No

No 2917-8 dt 29 May 1912
mm 265-09-6

The necessity for a Separate establishment for Muzrai work in the Hassan District offices

over

SUBJECT.

is being urged since the year 1892, by the several Deputy Commissioners who have been in charge of that District. It is said that this District is next to the Mysore District in importance so far as the number and importance of Muzrai Institutions are concerned, that prior to the general reduction of establishments there were three hands for Muzrai work in this District and that though Melkote has been transferred to the Mysore District, the Muzrai work continues to be heavy Government in their order No 172-3/Muz. & 2-92 dated 17th August 1894 were pleased to sanction the entertainment of a temporary hand on Rs 20/- who continued up to the 30th June 900, the Deputy Commissioner having then been informed that the question of establishment would after the amount

G.R S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Continuation Sheet draft form.)

of Savings at the credit of the several Muzrai Institutions in the State was ascertained Thus amount having since been ascertained, the question of establishment is again urged for consideration

2 The Deputy Commissioners have not been of one opinion As regards the number of hands required At first, one Muzrai Clerk on Rs 20/- or 25/- a month was asked for Later on, it was said that a Clerk on Rs 25/- and a Munshi on Rs 15/- were necessary Subsequently, a Daffardand on Rs 5/- was also wanted, and now the Deputy Commissioner wants a Clerk on Rs 30-1-35, an Accountant on Rs 20-1-25 and a Daffardand on Rs 7/- and proposes that the Cost of this establishment may be met from the interest realized on the Government of Mysore Pro-notes of the marginally noted Major Institutions and from the Surplus funds of the Sri Rama Devaru Chattram

1) Sri Chennakesavaswamy Temple at Belur
2) Sri Mallarada Matt at Nagarpur
3) Sri Chakreshwaraja Pattabhiramaswamy & Neelakanteswaraswamy Temples at Nagarpur
) Sri Venkataramana-swamy Temple at Melukote-Tirupati
) Jain Matt at Sravanabelagola.

MGCPB—30,000—5-1-05

Sah Bisle

3. As there is already a Sayer and Muzrai accountant on Rs 20/- a month in this office in accordance with Govt. Pro No 11906 A/Mis. & 35-96 dated 30th April 1897, Government do not see any necessity to sanction more than one other hand for Muzrai work; and are pleased to sanction a clerk on Rs 25/- p.m. ~~The sum~~ proposed against each of the marginally noted institutions having been provided in the scale of expenditure ~~of the Inam Commissioner~~ ~~for the payment of the clerks~~ ~~will be appropriated to meet the cost of the establishment now sanctioned~~

4 The Deputy Commissioner, Hassan Division, is requested to submit proposals for the revision of the scales of expenditure in these institutions so as to provide for the cost referred to above. The clerk can only be entertained after such revision is sanctioned by Government

Sd/ 11/8/1910

for offg Secretary.

F.

Arkalgud Chatram
4 OR, ~~Rs 1213-8-0~~

Bisle Chatram
4 OR, ~~Rs 1044-0-0~~

Belur Temple
4 OR, ~~Rs 1047-0-0~~

Jain Matt at Sravanabellagola
100 OR. Abem ~~Rs 3000-0-0~~

Barahazar Sastarpane'
8 OR. ~~Rs 2000-0-0~~

G.R.S. 97]

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT

(Continuation Sheet draft form.)

So X

by bonus, Nassau St,
Comptroller to Govt.

MGC PB—30,000—5 1 0